G. R. S. 58]

Bm. 2/7/16

Previous references.
LB 325—07. 81—4 B. June 1909.
" 6—13. 85 2, 3, 5, 6 & 7. Sept. 1913

File No. 58—14

Serial Nos. 1—6

1916.

(Local Bds)

Later references.
LB. 92-19 Sl. 142.

Present
AS 5/11/16

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—6

Part B for June 1916.

SUBJECT.

Proposal to dispose of the Musafirkhana at Davanagere by auction instead of handing it over to the P.W.D. for a fair valuation as suggested in Govt order. dated. 24th. August 1913.

G.O - No 9819—23. Dt. 22. 6. 16.

Directs that the old musafirkhana building at Davanagere be handed over to the Public Works Department for a reasonable valuation fixed by that Department in Consultation with the District. Board of Chitaldrug.

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

MK Pareek
17/7/16

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

the place close to the Railway Station is much resorted to by the travellers in preference to the musafirkhana.

2) In view of the circumstance that our District Boards are spending much more on the maintenance of rest houses etc than in the adjoining British territory it seems inexpedient to have a musafirkhana at Davangere - a big trading Centre and a municipality.

3) Draft ~~sanctioning~~ approving the suggestion of the District Board is submitted for orders

KPSA.
9.7.14

4) It has already been decided that the musafirkhana is unnecessary. The ~~question~~ point for orders is whether it may be allowed to be sold by public auction & whether the Revenue department ~~does not require~~ it.

5) Form forwarn of opinion on this point

Fmis 18-14

Hm 10/7.

By III)

6) Subd. The D.G. of Registration has to be consulted in the matter.

Rg. 716 1077/1914 Mk

7) Draft to be proposed.

P.T.O. 10/7

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPR—10,000—13-6-14

172

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Jmn 18-14

Serial No

8) Subd. May this be sent to Brtv for further action?

Hg. 716

17.7.14

9) Draft pubup as proposed Yes 18/7/14

KP 82 18) 21.7.14. 2. Reference is more suitable in this case

Bm 22/7.

BE

4) Forwarded unofficially to the Inspector Genl of Registration for favor of his opinion on the point noted in para 4 supra.

By order.

Bojjanna Hemmige

23/7/14 for Offg Secy.

No 18 Dy 23.7.14

IV 27/7 gm

No: 2 1914-15

Recd Ostpe 28.7.14

12) Yes The S.R.O at Tavangere does certainly require a habitat area if no suitable accommodation for the same can be provided in the Taluq Kacheri. And if the idea of Constructing a combined building for the location of Revenue and Local bodies Depot is also given up, it appears to me that the Nursapikkana in question may be acquired by the Govt. in the P.W.D. for the permanent location unit of the S.R.O. If for any reason, it is considered undesirable or inexpedient to do so, I should like to urge on first the necessity for the allocation of funds in the current years P.W.D Budget for the Construction of a new building altogether. The S.R.O will be put to some inconvenience, if the Nursapikkana is sold to private owners at this stage. And no accommodation (suitable) at same suit location elsewhere

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

=10,000—13-6-14

P Raghavendra Rao
Hy R.
25.7.14.

172

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

KB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No. 13

Submitted with the Opinion of the D.G. of Registration

KP 89

28/7/14 Consult Br II (S.R. Secn) and Br [illegible] the Chief Engr about the points raised by the D.G. of Regn

Am 29/7

To Branch II)

15 - From the P.W.D budget for 1913-14 it is seen that the proposed outlay on the construction of this combined Sub-Registrar's Office and Bonded Warehouse upto 1913-14 is very nearly equal to the amount of estimate sanctioned in Feby 1912. The time when the same will be completed may be ascertained from the P.W. Department.

4/8/14 No 33 SW 3/8

16) Forwarded to the Chief Engineer for favor of remarks in regard to para 12 supra.

By order

For Secy. to Govt.

11. 8. 14.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—13-6-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

Registrar whose pay is Rs. 50 per mensem has to pay a rent of Rs. 5 and the Amildar a rent of Rs. 15 per month. It is not known how the rents came to be fixed at Rs. 4 and Rs. 5 respectively.

20) It is learnt that the rents hitherto realized have been credited to the District Funds. As the building was originally constructed from the Provincial Funds, the District Board have no power to dispose of it or to appropriate the rent realized. The circumstances under which it was transferred to the Revenue Department are not now known, but it is a question why the District Board should lay claim to the building or the sale proceeds thereof.

21) For the reasons adduced above, orders may be passed for the retransfer of the building to the Public Works Department and to proper rents being fixed to be levied from the Amildar and the Sub Registrar for the portions occupied by them.

J. Thomas
For Offg. Chief Engineer of
Mysore.

Bangalore
3rd December 1914.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—60,000—7-11-13

G R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | AB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

(V) Subd with the opinion of the Chief Engineer.

(VI) The Sub-Registrar and the Amildar are paying much lower rent than ~~demandable~~ that claimable under the rules in force.

(VII) The circumstances under which the building was transferred to the D.B.D are stated to be not now known.

Though constructed out of Provincial funds, the building has for a long time been in the enjoyment of the District Board. The District Board have all along maintained a servant to look after it and met the cost of the necessary repairs from time to time.

The argument that the building should be retransferred to the P.W.D because it was constructed out of provincial funds does not seem to warrant such a procedure being followed, because once the building ~~is~~ vested in the D.B.D, Govt can no longer reclaim it unless it was handed over to them subject to specified conditions. By the same reasoning all Third class Travellers bungalows which in the first instance were built to serve as Inspection Lodges will have to revert to Govt. however long they have been in the enjoyment of the D.B.s concerned. The building was probably vested in the D.B.D to be used as a muncipal ~~ma~~ and

Please see sec 19 of the L.B. Regulation II of 1902

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11 14

172 (6

C R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | A/B File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

Govt have been deriving some benefit from it.

No rent is being realized for the location of the Sub-Registrar's office and the Excise Department.

Submitted for orders

R.D. 5-12-14

25) The mere fact that the building in question is called a Musafirkhana, and that the Dt Board is maintaining a Care-taker to look after it, and appropriating to itself the rents paid by the Amildar & Sub-Registrar, do not in my humble opinion appear to vest in the Dt Board proprietary rights over it.

26) It is clear the building was not constructed by the Dt Board out of its funds, and the only manner in which it can be considered to be its property is by transfer under Sec 5 (3) & Sec 19 of the Local Boards Regulation if any such has taken place.

27) Please therefor put up a draft Enquiring,

(1) When the building was constructed by whom, and for what purpose

(2) How long it has been used as a Musafirkhana.

(3) Since when the Amildar & Sub-Registrar

R.D.?

That rent has since been recovered.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11 14

C R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 5 8 of 1914-5

Serial No.

have been occupying it & how long the Sub-Registrar's office has been located therein.

(4) If any portion of the building is set apart for the use of travellers and if any travellers have used it

(5) What Establishment the Dt Board is maintaining to look after the building & since when.

(6) If any Notification has been published in the Official Gazette transferring the building to the Dt Board under Sec 17 of the Local Boards Regulr.

(7) How the Dt Board considers it the building to be its property.

Mor
9.12

25) Draft Submitted

Issue

Jna R
24.12.14
B.C.
21/12

Mor
22/12

To the D.C. chitaldrug No 4973 & 26.12.14. Requests information on certain points connected with the transfer to the P.W.D for a valuation, of the Musafirkhana building at Davangere referred to in 8(1) above.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

(10

. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

LB File No. 58 of 1914–15

Serial No. 3

From

The Dy Comm Chitaldrug Dt No 176 d 5.10.15

Reports with reference to the above that-

1. The building was constructed by the D.P.W in 1866-67 at a cost of Rs 4000
2. From what date the building has been used as a musafirkhana is not known
3. The Amildar & the Sub Registrar have been occupying portions of the building since 1911. The office of the Sub Registrar & the Excise Range office are located in the building since 18.7.1902 & 23.3.1911 respectively
4. The building is not used by travellers.
5. The Caretaker for the building was dispensed with effect from 1st Nov 1913
6. No note verting the building in the D.B.d is forthcoming
7. Govt have recognised the title of the D.B.d to the property in G.O.No. 13012-3/LB 325-07-4 d 26.6.1909

and requests renewal of Sanction to sell the building

29) ~~Requests~~ The proposal of the D.B.d to sell the building was sanctioned in June 1909 but the order was not given effect to on the representation of the Amildar and the Sub-Division Officer Mr R Subbarao as it was

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

reported that the building had been put in order and that considerable inconvenience would be felt by its demolition. (Vide Dy Comr's docket No 17 dated 21. 6. 1911

T

30) It will be seen that the Services of the Caretaker were unnecessarily retained till the 1st Nov 1913 although the local officers were fully aware that the building was not resorted to by travellers but was occupied by Govt officers & officials & their offices. The caretaker was dispensed with only after Govt directed his removal in G O. No. 3873-6/LB 6-13-7 dated 24.9.13

U

31) Considerable sums of P.W. money have been spent on the repairs & improvements to the building from time to time. ~~although no steps were taken to recover the arrears of rent from the Registration & Excise Departments for the location of their offices.~~

32) No building has for a long time been in the possession of the B&R Bd who have spent at least one or two thousands of rupees on it and Govt have once before sanctioned the proposal to sell the building of the B&R Bd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

J. Mis 5/19-15 (13)

[illegible] R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat

LB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

because they have been managing & maintaining the building & also because the Govt's sanction of 1909 to the sale of the building by the Dt. Board recognised the Govt's latter's right to the building. —

35) With reference to the Chief Engineer's claim, reference is invited to Rule V of the rules for the formation of Dist Boards in Mysore published under Chief Commr's Notfn No 110 dated 4th July 1874 & paragraph 3 of Section 5 of the Mysore Local Boards Regn II of 1902. — The two read together seem at least to imply that the ownership of the Musafir Khana vests in the Board. —

Forwarded to Br III for opinion

J. Mis 5 19-15.

[illegible]
23/10-15

Subd.

35) If the Dharmasala has been in the enjoyment or management under the care of the Dt Board from before the passing of the Local Boards Regn in 1902 then

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—22-3-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. L.B. File No. 5-8 of 1914-15

Serial No.

Flag A

Flag B.

no notification under Sec: 19 is necessary for vesting the Musafarkhana in the D.C Board. ~~If it has~~ Moreover in para 4 G.O. No 3873-6/L.B.6-13-7 dated 24/9/13 and in G.O. No 13012-3/L.B.325-09-4 dated 26/6/09 Govt have admitted by implication that the Musafarkhana is the property of the Dist Board. The question ~~on~~ perhaps cannot now be reopened

6/11/15 - Ag

37) It seems to me that there is much misconception in regard to facts & law in this case. From the records it is clear that the building in question was constructed by Govt in 1866-67, and was in their possession. There is no evidence of any direct transfer of the property in the building to the DC Board. No notification vesting the property in the Board was published as required by S 19 of the Local Boards Regulation. The District Board have not shown that the property vested in the old District committees, and that in consequence of S. 5 (3) of the L.B Regulation

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25 000—22-3-15

F. No. 519-15

1484

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

the recitals in the Board's letter to Govt. this order does not estop Govt. from claiming the proceeds of the sale. It is a well known proposition of law that there is no estoppel in favour of a volunteer. Under the circumstances, it seems to me that the Govt. are entitled to claim the building and that the Board are not entitled to it.

EG 17/11

BoW

39) Submitted to the opinion of Branch III

A routine note is placed for perusal.
LP 19.11.15

KP 19.11.15

Attention is invited to a routine note placed in the file.

MMD 19.11.15

40) Subject to orders. To a lay mind there seems to be much force in the observations in the routine note below.

Rev. Secy.)

24/11-15

41) So much has been written on the question of ownership that I have no desire to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—25,000—2-11-14

(17

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 58 of 1914.-15

Serial No.

& as to the growing volume of legal discussion

Sec 7(1) I would however venture to point out

(a) That the fact of the Dt Board levying rent for the portion leased to Govt has been overlooked by the authors Br III

(b) That Secn 7 (c) & (L) of the L.B Repn read with Secn 20 (1) (a) (8) make it clear that before the Dt Board can levy rents the building repd to must have vested in the Dt Board

(c) That the effect of the phrase "whether made at the cost of the Dt Fund or otherwise" in regard to Sewers, drains &c in Rule 42 of the Rules under the L.B Report is to show that the Legislature

^ tunnels culverts

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.	
	a the Govt intended to
	deal with the Dk Board
	in a manner not
	in the way sketched by the
	Chief Engineer & the undersigned
	Br. III.
	(4) Rule 42 of the LB Act
	declares that certain properties vest in Dk Board
	if matter made at the
	cost of Dk Fund or otherwise
	No such declaration is
	made about misapplications &c; and the reasons
	appears to be that
	the Legislature itself appears
	to be [illegible] clear on the
	subject.
	(4) However apart from the
	legal aspect,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

(19)

1434

Ɨ. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Dlo File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

There is the premium not
of Govt & the fact that
the Dt Board's finances
are not in a flourishing
Condition

Any help from Govt could
be
be sure to appreciated by
that Body.

45) If however a different
view is taken, there is
also plenty of authority
for taking such a view

2nd member 26/11/15

hm 26/11

46) I would also like
please that this letter + the above
Govt. letter go in its entirety — If Govt

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

with it is intended not for the N. Cachar Hills, to apply to any of these, it will be a great convenience to the private persons who and of the Hills to be inhabitants in some case.

47) I have read the G.O. of 1909 regard to the R. Log's note. I believe that the Govt. intention of Govt. to pull down the bridge & sell the materials, reserving the site for a public purpose as the bridge was beyond repair. If the circle of the bridge is taken the same, why not accept the same charge?

The value of the materials may be fixed by the District Board & the site reserved by Govt. The title may be decided later either to the Local Council or to the purpose of bridge and Govt. for any part of Govt.

48) Even if the situation of the bridge is not where I imagine it to be, the value of the land on which the bridge stood should be deducted from the price realised by the sale of the materials & credited to Govt. I think

3/12/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—25,000—30-4-15.

G. R. S. 12]

1934

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

49) For draft: accordingly for approval & 2nd reading

50) As regards the locality I know something & the Case-worker may ascertain from me before putting up the draft.

KP 4/12/15

Attended to. KP 5/2 KP 4/12

Sd/-

51) The Sketch in LB. File 325-0786/1-4 B/June/1934 shows the Situation of the musafirkhana at Davangere with reference to the public buildings in the vicinity.

52) Draft of an order is put up as directed

KP 6.12.15 Sd/- Ker Secy. 8/12-15

53) Draft may be approved

2nd reading KP 12/12/15 13/12-15

54) Approved 17/12 KP 18/12/15

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—10,000—13-6-14

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 23 File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No. 57)

Attention is respectfully invited to the notes in paras 29. ~~and 33 supra.~~

Since 1908 the building is reported to have been put in order. ~~and Hon~~ As Hon K. Krishna Iyer in his order ~~of~~ dt 20th June 1911 directed that the question of disposing of the building should be postponed Govt the order of the 26th June 1909 was not given effect. The Chief Engineer considers that the site ~~and~~ with the building ~~is~~ is worth Rs 8000. Being an antiquated building patched up from time to time the materials if sold are not likely to bring in a good sum. While approving the Govt execution of certain non-budgetted works Govt suggested why the building should not be transferred to the P.W.D as it was mainly used for the location of some offices and as quarters for Govt officers ~~at~~ Board and was not intended to serve the needs of the travelling public. The Dt Bd do not concur in this view and propose to sell the building in the interest of their finances. Reference is invited to clause (a) in para 33 of the notes supra.

58) Subject to orders

Para (8) of the note supra.

Flag A

Paper under disposal.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

59) A letter may be written to the Govt requesting him (with reference to his letter 6/10/15) to state whether, in case the Municipalities of Sholapur, the Govt & the Municipality who are now occupying it would not be inconvenienced if a letter at this not desirable to reserve it as the Govt. Special Quarters. On receipt of the reply the matter may be considered further

28/2/16

28/2

60) Draft put up for orders.

K.P. 29/2/16

61) 29/2/16

1/3.

1/3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 58 of 1914-15

Serial No.

65) The Draft is laid for approval or Mer order.
HB
8/6-16

Rev Secy.)

298
14.6.16

1st Member
HU 13/6/16
Ho b.

RH 17/6/16

66) Issued
HB
19/6-16

6 67) To the Dy. Comr. & President, Dist. Board, Chitaldrug.
" Comptroller to Government.
" Excise Commissioner.
" Inspector General of Registration
" Chief Engineer of Mysore.
G.O. No. 9319-23 dated 22-6-16.
In modification of G.O. No. 13012-3/L.B. 325-07-4 dated 26-6-1909, directs that the ~~building~~ old musafirkhana building at Davangere be handed over to the Public Works Dept. for a reasonable valuation fixed by that Dept. in consultation with the District Board.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

208
encl

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District,

Dated Chitaldrug, 2nd ~~July~~ 3rd July 1914.

From

V.R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire M.A.,,

Deputy Commissioner,

D 172 Chitaldrug.

L.B 58 14-1

To,

D.M. Narasinga Rao Esquire B.A.,B.L.,

Offg. Secretary to the Government of

H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

Genl:and Rev: Departments.

B A N G A L O R E.

S i r,

With reference to para 4 of Government order No.3873-6/L.B.6-13-7 dated 24th August 1913, calling for a report in the matter of handing over the Musafirkhana building at Davangere to D.P.W. for a valuation, I have the honor to state that as already submitted in para 3 of this office letter No.469 dated 29th May 1914 the subject was placed before the District Board meeting held on the 20th ~~instant~~ June 14. It will be seen on a reference to Board's resolution in the matter that they(the Board) are not in favour of handing over the building in question to D.P.W. In concurring with the Board's resolution I beg to recommend that sanction of Government may be obtained for selling the same(the building) by public auction in the interest of the District Board's finance.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

V Thyagaraja

Deputy Commissioner,

Chitaldrug District.

N.S.

11

W 97 H^S W W

1914

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Coimbatore District

To The Secretary to the Govt of
H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Genl & Rev Departments
Bangalore

Dated 30
Despatched } July 1914
Received

General No. 8
No.

Enclosure No.

Requesting sanction
for selling the Thumsaforkhana
buildings at Palamcottai by
public auction in the interest
of the District Board finance

6-15

Enc to LB 58-14-1 (30)

Proceedings of the meeting of the District Board held at Chitaldrug

Saturday the 20th June 1914.

President:- V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire M. A. (Present)

Official Members.

B. Krishna Murthi Rao — District Medical ~~and Sanitary~~ officer, Chitaldrug.

R. Nanaswamy Rao — Assistant Commissioner, Davanagere Sub-Division

M. S. Rama Chendra Rao — Assistant Commissioner, Chitaldrug Sub-Division

A M. K. Srinivasachar — Deputy Inspector of Schools, Chitaldrug.

R. S. Navaratna — Executive Engineer, Chitaldrug Division.

M. S. Rama Chendra Rao — Vice President, Chitaldrug Municipality.

Non-Official Members.

P Mr. P. Hanjundappa.

P " Shanbhogue Subbanna.

P " Huttagedur Gurusiddappa.

P Vale Basappa.

P " Channagiri Narayana Chetty.

P " Thippeswamy.

P " P. Nagappa.

P Nagoji Row.

P " Shanbhogue Srinivasa Row

P " Abdul Razak.

P " Shanbhogue Rangadasappa.

P " Bhimaji Durgappa.

P " Abdul Rahiman Sab.

P " H. Subba Row.

P " Tegginahalli Basappa.

P " B. V. Narayana Row.

P Halagatha Ajjappa.

A " Siddaramiah.

N. B.) All the Amildars and Deputy Amildar/were present. except the Amildar of Hela-lkere Taluk

3/ x x x x x x x x

X. Read Government Order No.3873-6/L.B.6-15-7 dated 24th September 1913, calling for a report in the matter of handing over the Musafirkhana building at Davangere to the D.P.W. for a valuation on condition of the D.P.W. making the building available for the residence of private persons as well as for the location of certain Government Offices as at present.

RESOLUTION.:-

The Board is of opinion that in the interest of the District Board finance the building may be sold by public auction instead of being given to the Public Works Department for a Valuation.

x x x x x

(sd) V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer
P r e s i d e n t.

" C O P Y."

For President District Board,
Chitaldrug District.

N.S.

32

Transfer of the Musafirkhana building at Davangere to the P.W.D.

G. R. S. 26]

29/1 S. 46

LB File No. 58-14.

Serial No. 2

5/

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. 4973
LBS-8-14-2
& 26.12.14

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191

To The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug

Date of issue 26.12.14

Signed by M.A.V. Ramanathan

Sir,

Paper in dei 2/5/

With reference to your letter No. 8 & 3.7.14, in the matter of handing over to the D.P.W, the musafirkhana building at Davangere, for a valuation, I am directed to request you to furnish information on the following

over.

SUBJECT.

points

1. ~~When was the Musafirkhana~~ The date on which the building in question was constructed by whom & for what purpose?

2. How long ~~has~~ the building has been used as a Musafirkhana

3. Since when has the Huildar & the Sub Registrar have been occupying it & how long has the sub Registrars office been located therein

4) Is any portion of the building has been set apart for travellers & has any traveller used it as such?

5) What establishment does the Distt Bd maintains to look after the building & since when?

6) ~~What was the authority~~ Whether ~~under which~~ the building has been vested in ~~was transferred to~~ the Distt Bd under Sec 190 of the LB Regulation, & if so the notification which the transfer was published

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—4-3-13

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

& 7) How does the Distt Board Considers the building to be its property.

I have &c

Mbn
22/12

for Secretary to Govt

Read
19.12.14 BDC

GPB—10,000—22-2-11

No.176

D 1434

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.
Dated Chitaldrug, 5th October 1915.

From

L.B.58-14-3

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
C h i t a l d r u g.

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

S I R.

Adverting to General and Revenue Secretary's letter No. 4973/L.B.58-14-2 dated 26th December 1914 calling for further information in the matter of handing over the musafirkhana building at Davangere to the D.P.W. for a valuation, I have the honor to state as follows:-

The building is stated to have been constructed in the year 1866-67 by the D.P.W. at a cost of Rs. 4000 from the Provincial Funds. Information is not forthcoming as to the purpose for which it was erected and the exact year from which it is used as a musafirkhana. The Amildar and the Sub Registrar have been occupying the building since 1911. The office of the Sub Registrar and Excise Range Office are located therein since 18th July 1902 and 23rd March 1911 respectively. The building is not being resorted to by travellers as there is a chattram conveniently situated near the Railway station.

There was a caretaker paid from the District Funds formerly to look after the building and the post was abolished since 1st November 1913, there being no necessity for his services.

No Government notification vesting the property in the District Board is forthcoming. The building however goes by the name of Musafirkhana from a long time and the District Board has been from time to time incurring expenditure for its upkeep and in the correspondence ending with order No.13012-3/L.B.325-07-4 dated 26th June 1909 the Government have recognised the title of the District Board to the property and accorded sanction to the building being

GPB—100,000—7-8-13

7/11/1916 VP

11/10

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

To

The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 6th October 1915

Received

General No. 176
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file

Requesting sanction
the proposal of the District
Board to sell the Hussainkhan
Building at Davangere at a
public auction.

sold by public auction.

This order has not however been given effect to till now.

In these circumstances, I request that Government may be pleased to confirm their previous order and sanction the proposal of the District Board to sell the building at a public auction as recommended in this office letter No. 8 dated 3rd July 1914.

The file of papers on the subject is also enclosed herein for perusal and return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be,

S I R,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

S.V. Chitaldrug District.

14

6/125

37

S

T

15

G.R.S. 26]

SUBJECT Proposed Sale of the Musafir Khana at Davangere

Diary No. of letter replied to 1434

1 Copy

Section LB
File No. 58
Serial No. 14

General and Revenue Secretariat
(LB.)
, the 191

No. 6591
LB58-14-4
9.3.16

Date of issue 6.3.16

To The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug Dt.

Signed by Sd/- V. Ramanathan

Sir

With reference to your letter no: 176 dated the 5th October 1915 in the matter of selling the musafir khana building at Davangere, I am directed to request you to be so good as to report whether in case the musafir khana is sold, the amildar and the Sub Registrar who are now occupying it will

over.

6/3/16 VG

not be inconvenienced

and whether it is not

desirable to reserve it as

the official quarters for the Auditor

I have etc

Secretary to Govt

Rev Department

10584

D 4038

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.
Dated Chitaldrug, 9th May 1916.

LB 58-14-5

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
C h i t a l d r u g.

To

V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer Esquire M.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

S I R,

With reference to your letter No.6591/L.B.58-14-4 dated the 8th March 1916 re the Musafirkhana building at Davangere, I have the honor to state that I agree to the proposal of reserving the building for use as official quarters for the Amildar of the Taluk and such other officers as may be determined from time to time. The office of the Sub Registrar and the Excise Range Office may continue to be located therein till other accommodation is provided and on their removal some other small office may be accommodated there if necessary.

In the circumstances I am of opinion that instead of selling the Musafirkhana building by public auction as proposed by the Board, it may be handed over to Public Works Department at a valuation fixed with due regard to the present value of the site and the building.

I have the honor to be,
S I R,
Your most obedient servant,

Senior Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District.
(Absent from station).

S.V.

9/5

Fns. 72-15

GPB—50,000—25-9-15

1916

From

The Deputy Commissioner.
Chitaldrug District.

To

The Secretary to Government of
Mysore
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Dated

Despatched 9.5.16

Received

General No. 534
No.

Enclosure No.

Agreeing to the proposal of
[illegible] the Sub-Asstt. Surgeon
building at Davangere for
the use as Medical Quarters
for Assistant Surgeons in the cantonment
and stating that the building
may be handed over to the P.W.D.
for a fixed hire.

40

3 2116 GPW

Disposal of the Musafirkhana building at Davangere.

G.R.S. 28]

Diary No. of letter replied to 4038

LB File No. 58/14

Serial No. 6

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(LB.)

, the 191

Date of issue 22/6/16

Signed by N B Subbarao

READ— G.O. No 13012-3/LB325-07-4 dated the 26th June 1909 Sanctioning the proposal of the District Board Chitaldrug

ORDER THEREON.—No. to Sell by auction the old Musafirkhana building at Davangere together with the Site on which it Stands, with the observation that the

over.

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

GPW.5/2016

Question of reserving the Site
for some public purpose may
be considered.

(2) Correspondence ending
with letter No: 534 dated the
9th May 1916 from the Deputy
Commissioner Chitaldrug D.
Stating that the Musafirkhana
buildings may be reserved
for use as official Quarters
for the Shirdar of the Taluk
& other Officers and for the location
of the Offices of the Sub Registrar
and the Excise Range office and that
the building may be handed
over to the Public works Departmen
at a valuation fixed with
due regard to the present value
of the site and the buildings

Papers under sepa

Order 9319-23. ~~9558-62~~/KB 58-14-6 dt. 22.6.16.

In modification of the
Order read above Govt are pleased
to direct that the buildings may

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

42

be handed over to the Public Works Department for a reasonable valuation fixed by that Department in consultation with the District Board

19/6/16
Secretary to Govt
Rev Deptt

To
The Dy Commr & President
Dt Bd Chittagong Dt
with a file of papers
re Comptroller
" Excise Commissioner
" D.G. of Registration
" Chief Engineer

Enclosures sent separately on 17.7.16 to Dc Chittagong.

GPB—20,000—7-7-14